

## Path integral formalism for $Osp(1 \text{ mod } 2)$ coherent states

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 L697

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/27/18/009>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 21:40

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Path integral formalism for  $Osp(1|2)$  coherent states\*

Xiao-Ming Liu†‡ and Shun-Jin Wang‡

† Institute of High Energy Physics, Academia Sinica, PO Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, People's Republic of China§

‡ Department of Modern Physics, Lanzhou University, People's Republic of China

Received 18 May 1994

**Abstract.** A path-integral formulation in the representation of coherent states for the supergroup  $Osp(1|2)$  is introduced. An expression for the transition amplitude connecting two  $Osp(1|2)$  coherent states is constructed and the corresponding canonical equations of motion derived. A set of generalized Poisson brackets is introduced.

Both path integrals [1] and coherent states [2, 3] have played major roles in the study of quantum-mechanical systems, particularly for establishing the correspondence between classical and quantum physics. Coherent states for arbitrary Lie groups were constructed by Perelomov [4] and Gilmore [5] and generalized to the case of supergroups by others [6–8]. The use of coherent states to provide an alternative method of obtaining the phase-space path integral and, hence, Hamilton's equations of motion, was pioneered by Klauder and others [9]. This technique has been extended to include a formulation in terms of coherent states for  $SU(2)$  [10],  $SU(1, 1)$  [11] and the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean group [12]. The coherent-state path-integral formalism has also found its application in the theoretical study of Berry's geometrical phase [13]. In the past few years, there have been hints of physically realized supersymmetry in nuclear [14], atomic [15] and many-body quantum systems [16]. Recently, Schmitt and Mufti [17] presented the path-integral formalism of coherent states for the non-compact supergroup  $Osp(1|2, R)$ , which contains  $Sp(2, R)$  as a subgroup.

In this letter, we wish to generalize the previous path-integral formalism of  $SU(2)$  coherent states studied in [10] to the supergroup  $Osp(1|2)$  [18], which contains  $SU(2)$  as a subgroup. We first recall the  $Osp(1|2)$  and its relevant irreducible representation, then construct the associated coherent states. Later, we present the path-integral formulation of the transition amplitude between two  $Osp(1|2)$  coherent states and derive the classical equations of motion for the system.

The superalgebra  $Osp(1|2)$  contains three even (bosonic) generators  $Q_3, Q_\pm$  of  $SU(2)$  and two odd (fermionic) generators  $V_\pm$  with (anti)commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_3, Q_\pm] &= \pm Q_\pm & [Q_+, Q_-] &= 2Q_3 \\ [Q_3, V_\pm] &= \pm \frac{1}{2} V_\pm & [Q_\pm, V_\pm] &= 0 & [Q_\pm, V_\mp] &= V_\pm \\ \{V_\pm, V_\pm\} &= \pm \frac{1}{2} Q_\pm & \{V_\pm, V_\mp\} &= -\frac{1}{2} Q_3. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

\* This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation and the Doctoral Education Fund of the State Education Commission of China.

§ Mailing address.

There is a graded anti-involution  $\star$

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_{\pm})^{\star} &= Q_{\mp} & Q_3^{\star} &= Q_3 & (V_{\pm})^{\star} &= \pm(-1)^{\varepsilon} V_{\mp} & \varepsilon &= 0, 1 \\ (XY)^{\star} &= (-1)^{p(X)p(Y)} Y^{\star} X^{\star} & (X^{\star})^{\star} &= (-1)^{p(X)} X \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $p(X) = 0, 1$  is the parity of a homogeneous element  $X \in Osp(1|2)$ . We shall use  $\varepsilon = 0$  later on.

An irreducible representation of this algebra contains a doublet of representations  $|q, q, q_3\rangle$  and  $|q, q - \frac{1}{2}, q_3\rangle$  of the even part  $SU(2)$  with highest weights  $q$  and  $q - \frac{1}{2}$ , respectively. Note that the quantum number  $q = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ . The  $q$  representation is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3|q, q, q_3\rangle &= q_3|q, q, q_3\rangle \\ Q_3|q, q - \frac{1}{2}, q_3\rangle &= q_3|q, q - \frac{1}{2}, q_3\rangle \\ Q_{\pm}|q, q, q_3\rangle &= \sqrt{(q \mp q_3)(q \pm q_3 + 1)}|q, q, q_3 \pm 1\rangle \\ Q_{\pm}|q, q - \frac{1}{2}, q_3\rangle &= \sqrt{(q - 1/2 \mp q_3)(q + 1/2 \pm q_3)}|q, q - \frac{1}{2}, q_3 \pm 1\rangle \\ V_{\pm}|q, q, q_3\rangle &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{q \mp q_3}|q, q - \frac{1}{2}, q_3 \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle \\ V_{\pm}|q, q - \frac{1}{2}, q_3\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{q + 1/2 \pm q_3}|q, q, q_3 \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The  $q$  representation is a grade-star representation with respect to a suitable positive-definite scalar product on the representation space.

For a doublet of Grassmann variables  $\theta$  and  $\bar{\theta}$ , there exists a graded anti-involution  $\star$ , defined by

$$\theta^{\star} = \bar{\theta} \quad \bar{\theta}^{\star} = -\theta \quad (\theta\bar{\theta})^{\star} = (-1)^{p(\theta)p(\bar{\theta})}\bar{\theta}^{\star}\theta^{\star} = \theta\bar{\theta} \quad (4)$$

where  $p(\theta) = p(\bar{\theta}) = 1$ .

Now, we construct the  $Osp(1|2)$  coherent states as

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha, \theta\rangle &= U(\xi, \theta)|q, q, -q\rangle \\ &\equiv e^{\xi Q_+ - \bar{\xi}^* Q_-} e^{\theta V_+ - \bar{\theta} V_-} |q, q, -q\rangle \\ &= e^{\alpha Q_+} e^{\log(1 + \alpha\alpha^*) Q_3} e^{-\alpha^* Q_-} e^{\theta V_+ - \bar{\theta} V_-} |q, q, -q\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\xi = \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi} \quad \alpha = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi} \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi). \quad (6)$$

In the sense of grade-star representations, the  $U(\xi, \theta)$  is a unitary operator, i.e.,  $UU^{\star} = U^{\star}U = 1$ . In (5), the  $|q, q, -q\rangle$  is one of the lowest-weight state vectors. By calculation, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha, \theta\rangle &= N \sum_{n=0}^{2q} \left[ \frac{(2q)!}{n!(2q-n)!} \right]^{1/2} \alpha^n |q, q, -q+n\rangle \\ &\quad - N(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)^{1/2} \frac{(2q)^{1/2}}{2} \theta \sum_{n=0}^{2q-1} \left[ \frac{(2q-1)!}{n!(2q-1-n)!} \right]^{1/2} \alpha^n |q, q - \frac{1}{2}, -q + \frac{1}{2} + n\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with

$$N = \{(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)[1 + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta]\}^{-q}. \tag{8}$$

The overlap between two  $Osp(1|2)$  coherent states can be expressed as

$$\langle \alpha_1, \theta_1 | \alpha_2, \theta_2 \rangle = N_1 N_2 \left\{ (1 + \alpha_1^* \alpha_2) \left[ 1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha_1 \alpha_1^*)^{1/2} (1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_2^*)^{1/2}}{4(1 + \alpha_1^* \alpha_2)} \bar{\theta}_1 \theta_2 \right] \right\}^{2q}. \tag{9}$$

We can find the measure of integration and decomposition of unity for the  $Osp(1|2)$  coherent states as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mu(\alpha, \theta) |\alpha, \theta\rangle \langle \alpha, \theta| &= \sum_{n=0}^{2q} |q, q, -q + n\rangle \langle q, q, -q + n| \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{2q-1} |q, q - \frac{1}{2}, -q + \frac{1}{2} + n\rangle \langle q, q - \frac{1}{2}, -q + \frac{1}{2} + n| = I \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with

$$d\mu(\alpha, \theta) = \frac{4}{\pi} d\bar{\theta} d\theta d^2\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta \right] \right\}. \tag{11}$$

Here, we have used the definitions of integration over Grassmann variables

$$\int d\bar{\theta} \theta(1, \bar{\theta}, \theta) = 0 \quad \int d\bar{\theta} d\theta \theta \bar{\theta} = 1. \tag{12}$$

It is interesting to note that the  $Osp(1|2)$  coherent states so constructed are ‘closest to classical’ in the sense of Perelomov [4].

Consider a Hamiltonian  $\widehat{H}$ , which is constructed from the generators of the supergroup. The propagator from the coherent state at time  $t_2$  to the coherent state at time  $t_1$  is given by

$$T(\alpha_1, \theta_1, t_1; \alpha_2, \theta_2, t_2) = \left\langle \alpha_1, \theta_1 \left| \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \widehat{H}(t_1 - t_2) \right] \right| \alpha_2, \theta_2 \right\rangle. \tag{13}$$

In principle, we should use a time-ordered exponential to allow for the Hamiltonian being time dependent, however, the modifications needed are straightforward and are omitted here. As usual, we divide  $(t_1 - t_2)$  into  $n$  equal time intervals  $\epsilon = (t_1 - t_2)/n$  and take the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \alpha_1, \theta_1 \left| \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \widehat{H} \epsilon \right]^n \right| \alpha_2, \theta_2 \right\rangle. \tag{14}$$

Inserting the completeness relation (10) into each of the equal time intervals, we can rewrite  $T$  as

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \cdots \int \prod_k d\mu(\alpha_k, \theta_k) \prod_k \left\langle \alpha_k, \theta_k \left| \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \widehat{H} \epsilon \right] \right| \alpha_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1} \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \cdots \int \prod_k d\mu(\alpha_k, \theta_k) \prod_k \langle \alpha_k, \theta_k | \alpha_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1} \rangle \\ &\quad \times \prod_k \left\{ 1 - \frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \frac{\langle \alpha_k, \theta_k | \widehat{H} | \alpha_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \alpha_k, \theta_k | \alpha_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1} \rangle} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Here, the endpoints are  $t_n = t_1$  and  $t_0 = t_2$ . First, the term in the curly bracket in (15) can be replaced by the exponential of the expectation value of the Hamiltonian in the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Next, by calculation, the product term  $\prod \langle \alpha_k, \theta_k | \alpha_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1} \rangle$  is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_k \langle \alpha_k, \theta_k | \alpha_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1} \rangle &= \exp \sum_k \epsilon \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \langle \alpha_k, \theta_k | \alpha_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1} \rangle \\ &= \exp \sum_k \epsilon \left\{ q \left[ \frac{1}{1 + |\alpha_k|^2} \left( \alpha_k \frac{\Delta \alpha_k^*}{\epsilon} - \alpha_k^* \frac{\Delta \alpha_k}{\epsilon} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\Delta \bar{\theta}_k}{\epsilon} \theta_k - \bar{\theta}_k \frac{\Delta \theta_k}{\epsilon} \right) \right] + O(\Delta^2) \right\} \\ &\rightarrow \exp \int_{t_2}^{t_1} q \left[ \frac{\alpha \dot{\alpha}^* - \alpha^* \dot{\alpha}}{1 + |\alpha|^2} + \frac{1}{4} (\dot{\bar{\theta}} \theta - \bar{\theta} \dot{\theta}) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} \theta \right] dt \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the dot denotes time derivative and  $\Delta \alpha_k = \alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1}$ ,  $\Delta \theta_k = \theta_k - \theta_{k-1}$ ; the terms containing second order in  $\Delta$  have been neglected.

We obtain the formal expression for the transition amplitude

$$T = \int D\mu[\alpha(t), \theta(t)] \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} S \right) \quad (17)$$

$$S = \int_{t_2}^{t_1} L(\alpha(t), \alpha^*(t), \dot{\alpha}(t), \dot{\alpha}^*(t), \theta(t), \bar{\theta}(t), \dot{\theta}(t), \dot{\bar{\theta}}(t)) dt \quad (18)$$

where the 'Lagrangian'  $L$  is given by

$$L = -i\hbar q \left[ \frac{\alpha \dot{\alpha}^* - \alpha^* \dot{\alpha}}{1 + |\alpha|^2} + \frac{1}{4} (\dot{\bar{\theta}} \theta - \bar{\theta} \dot{\theta}) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} \theta \right] - H \quad (19)$$

$$H = H(\alpha, \alpha^*, \bar{\theta} \theta) = \langle \alpha, \theta | \hat{H} | \alpha, \theta \rangle \quad (20)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$L = \left\langle \alpha, \theta \left| \left( i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \hat{H} \right) \right| \alpha, \theta \right\rangle \quad (21)$$

with the aid of

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \alpha, \theta \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right| \alpha, \theta \right\rangle &= \left\langle \alpha, \theta \left| \left( \dot{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \dot{\alpha}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*} + \dot{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \dot{\bar{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \right) \right| \alpha, \theta \right\rangle \\ &= -q \left[ \frac{\alpha \dot{\alpha}^* - \alpha^* \dot{\alpha}}{1 + |\alpha|^2} + \frac{1}{4} (\dot{\bar{\theta}} \theta - \bar{\theta} \dot{\theta}) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} \theta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

To arrive at the classical limit, we consider the case  $S \gg \hbar$ . The dominant contribution to the transition amplitude then comes from the path where the variation of the action vanishes. Setting  $\delta S = 0$ , we get the Euler-Lagrange equations for the system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\alpha}^*} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha^*} = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\bar{\theta}}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{\theta}} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Substituting expression (19) for the Lagrangian into (23) and (24), we derive the equations of motion for the system

$$\dot{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2\hbar q} (1 + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta)(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)^2 \{\alpha, H\}_B \quad (25)$$

$$\dot{\alpha}^* = \frac{i}{2\hbar q} (1 + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta)(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)^2 \{\alpha^*, H\}_B \quad (26)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{i}{\hbar q} [\frac{1}{4}\alpha^*(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)\{\alpha, H\}_B\bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{4}\alpha(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)\{\alpha^*, H\}_B\theta - 2\{\theta, H\}_F] \quad (27)$$

$$\dot{\bar{\theta}} = \frac{i}{\hbar q} [\frac{1}{4}\alpha(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)\{\alpha^*, H\}_B\bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{4}\alpha^*(1 + \alpha\alpha^*)\{\alpha, H\}_B\bar{\theta} + 2\{\bar{\theta}, H\}_F]. \quad (28)$$

Here, we have introduced the Poisson brackets for complex and Grassmann variables

$$\{A, B\}_B = \left\{ \frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha^*} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \alpha^*} \right\} \quad (29)$$

$$\{A, B\}_F = \left\{ \frac{\partial A}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \right\}. \quad (30)$$

Note that  $\partial/\partial\theta$  and  $\overleftarrow{\partial}/\partial\theta$  are left and right derivative, respectively.

Finally, we wish to point out that all the above results are identical to those in [10], i.e., in the case of  $SU(2)$  as  $\theta, \bar{\theta} \rightarrow 0$ . This is just what we require.

In this letter, we have presented a path-integral formalism for the supergroup  $Osp(1|2)$ . The resulting equations of motion contain two Poisson brackets—one for the complex variable  $\alpha$  and one for the Grassmann variable  $\theta$ . The form of the equations of motion follows from the fact that the coherent states were constructed from a supergroup. The existence of the boson (fermion) Poisson bracket in the equations of motion for the bosonic (fermionic) variables is a direct consequence of the supergroup structure.

In our next work, we will apply our obtained results to a physical system possessing  $Osp(1|2)$  supersymmetry.

We would like to thank Professor Z Q Ma for helpful discussions and the anonymous referee for suggestions leading to the improvement of the letter.

## References

- [1] Feynman R P 1951 *Phys. Rev.* **84** 108  
Feynman R P and Hibbs A R 1968 *Mechanics and Path Integrals* (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [2] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B S (ed) 1985 *Coherent States* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [3] Glauber R 1963 *Phys. Rev.* **130** 2529
- [4] Perelomov A M 1972 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **26** 222; 1977 *Sov. Phys.-Usp.* **20** 703
- [5] Gilmore R 1972 *Ann. Phys.* **74** 391
- [6] Valles J W F 1981 *J. Math. Phys.* **22** 1521
- [7] Bars I and Günaydin M 1983 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **91** 31
- [8] Balantekin A B, Schmitt H A and Barrett B R 1988 *J. Math. Phys.* **29** 1634  
Balantekin A B, Schmitt H A and Halse P 1989 *J. Math. Phys.* **30** 274

- [9] Klauder J P 1966 *Ann. Phys.* **11** 123  
Schweber J J 1962 *J. Math. Phys.* **3** 831
- [10] Kuratsuji H and Suzuki T 1980 *J. Math. Phys.* **21** 472
- [11] Gerry C C and Silverman S 1982 *J. Math. Phys.* **23** 1995
- [12] Bohm M and Junker G 1989 *J. Math. Phys.* **30** 1995
- [13] Kuratsuji H 1988 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** 1687
- [14] Schmitt H A, Halse P, Balantekin A B and Barrett B R 1988 *Phys. Lett.* **210B** 1  
Schmitt H A, Balantekin A B, Halse P and Barrett B R 1989 *Phys. Rev. C* **39** 2419
- [15] Buzano C, Rasetti M G and Rastello M L 1989 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** 137
- [16] Montorsi A, Rasetti M and Solomon A 1987 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** 2243
- [17] Schmitt H A and Mufti A 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** L815
- [18] Scheunert M, Nahm W and Rittenberg V 1977 *J. Math. Phys.* **18** 155